Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 439 (1992) 327–339 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 22882

# The synthesis of dinuclear molybdenum and tungsten complexes with the bridging heterodifunctional ligand $C_5H_4PPh_2$ : X-ray crystal structure of Mo<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>5</sub>

Timothy J. Duckworth, Martin J. Mays

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW (UK)

## Gráinne Conole and Mary McPartlin

School of Chemistry, The Polytechnic of North London, Holloway Road, London, N7 8DB (UK) (Received March 30, 1992)

#### Abstract

The synthesis and some reactions of dinuclear metal-metal bonded complexes of molybdenum and tungsten bridged by the heterodifunctional ligand  $C_5H_4PPh_2$  are reported. The new complexes MoM ( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>5</sub> [M = Mo (1a); M = W (1b)], and Mo<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>5</sub> (1c) have been characterized, together with the phosphine substituted derivatives MoM-( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>4</sub>L [M = Mo, L = PPh<sub>2</sub>Me (2a); M = Mo, L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> (2b); M = W, L = PPh<sub>2</sub>Me (2c)] and the di-bridged complex Mo<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> (3). The molecular structure of Mo<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>5</sub> (1a) has been determined by X-ray diffraction. Treatment of 1a, 1b and 3 with HBF<sub>4</sub> gives the corresponding monoprotonated derivatives 4a, 4b and 5, respectively, in which the proton occupies a bridging site between the metal centres. The new mononuclear complexes Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>) (CO)<sub>3</sub>I (6) and Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>NO (7) have also been prepared.

## Introduction

The heterodifunctional cyclopentadienylphosphine  $C_5H_4PPh_2$  has been widely used as a bridging ligand in the synthesis of homodimetallic and heterodimetallic complexes [1,2]. Such complexes are of widespread interest because of the possibility of cooperative effects between the two metal centres [2,3]. Despite this interest, the number of structurally characterized complexes containing one or more bridging  $C_5H_4PPh_2$  ligands in which the metal centres are sufficiently close for a direct metal-metal bond to be present remains small [3-5], and there are none involving two Group VI metals.

Correspondence to: Dr. M.J. Mays or Dr. G. Conole.

In this paper we report the synthesis and some reactions of the metal-metal bonded complexes  $MoM(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  [M = Mo (1a); M = W (1b)],  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_5$  (1c) and  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$ (3), together with the results of an X-ray crystal structure determination on 1a. In the course of this work, we have prepared two stable mononuclear molybdenum complexes containing the  $C_5H_4PPh_2$  ligand,  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_3I$  and  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_2NO$ , although a previous report [5] suggested that complexes of this type were unstable.

#### **Results and discussion**

The complexes  $MoM(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  [M = Mo (1a); M = W (1b)], were prepared in moderate yields from the reaction of Li[Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>] with M( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>I (M=Mo or W) in tetrahydrofuran under reflux. Analogous methods were used previously to prepare other homodimetallic and heterodimetallic complexes with a bridging PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligand [5,6].

The crystal structure of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a) was determined by an X-ray diffraction study. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1; selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1 and fractional atom coordinates in Table 2. The structure consists of discrete dinuclear molecules with



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a) including the atom numbering scheme.

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a)

Selected bond lengths			
Mo(1)-Mo(2)	3.255(2)	Mo(1)-C(11)	2.322(13)
Mo(1)-C(12)	2.382(15)	Mo(1)-C(13)	2.374(15)
Mo(1)-C(14)	2.320(14)	Mo(1)-C(15)	2.299(14)
Mo(1)-C(1)	1.962(16)	Mo(1)-C(2)	1.903(14)
Mo(1)-C(3)	1.962(17)	Mo(2)-P(1)	2.431(4)
Mo(2)-C(21)	2.413(17)	Mo(2)-C(22)	2.373(18)
Mo(2)-C(23)	2.301(18)	Mo(2)-C(24)	2.319(19)
Mo(2)-C(25)	2.362(17)	Mo(2)-C(4)	1.966(17)
Mo(2)-C(5)	1.956(16)	P(1)-C(11)	1.811(13)
P(1)-C(111)	1.833(7)	P(1)-C(121)	1.828(7)
C(11)-C(12)	1.463(17)	C(11)-C(15)	1.479(18)
C(12)-C(13)	1.412(19)	C(13)-C(14)	1.389(19)
C(14)-C(15)	1.420(19)	C(21)-C(22)	1.423(23)
C(21)-C(25)	1.453(22)	C(22)-C(23)	1.326(22)
C(23)C(24)	1.512(25)	C(24)-C(25)	1.427(23)
C(1)-O(1)	1.160(16)	C(2)–O(2)	1.199(15)
C(3)-O(3)	1.169(17)	C(4)–O(4)	1.137(16)
C(5)–O(5)	1.162(16)		
Selected angles			
C(1)-Mo(1)-Mo(2)	140.0(4)	C(2)-Mo(1)-Mo(2)	82.6(4)
C(2)-Mo(1)-C(1)	80.6(6)	C(3)-Mo(1)-Mo(2)	68.7(5)
$C(3)-M_0(1)-C(1)$	79.0(7)	C(3)-Mo(1)-C(2)	100.2(6)
P(1)-Mo(2)-Mo(1)	67.0(1)	C(4)-Mo(2)-Mo(1)	127.9(5)
C(4)-Mo(2)-P(1)	82.4(4)	C(5)-Mo(2)-Mo(1)	80.7(4)
C(5)-Mo(2)-P(1)	115.8(4)	C(5)-Mo(2)-C(4)	76.1(6)
C(11)-P(1)-Mo(2)	107.0(4)	O(1)-C(1)-Mo(1)	179 (1)
O(2)-C(2)-Mo(1)	172 (1)	O(3)-C(3)-Mo(1)	171 (1)
O(4)-C(4)-Mo(2)	176 (1)	O(5)-C(5)-Mo(2)	173 (1)

no unusually short intermolecular contact. The heterodifunctional ligand bridges the two molybdenum atoms. Mo(1) is additionally coordinated by three carbonyl groups and Mo(2) by two carbonyl groups and a cyclopentadienyl ring. This cyclopentadienyl ring lies to one side of a plane bisecting the PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ligand and passing through the two metal atoms and the central CO ligand on the other molybdenum atom.

The metal-metal distance in **1a** at 3.255(2) Å, is slightly longer than that in  $Mo_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2(CO)_6$  [7], but is consistent with the presence of a single bond between the metal centres as required by the effective atomic number (EAN) rule. The geometry of the ligands about Mo(1) is a distorted piano stool arrangement similar to that in the molybdenum-manganese complex  $MoMn(\mu-C_5H_4-PPh_2)(CO)_7$  [5]. The angles between the metal-metal bond and the *trans* carbonyl [C(1)-Mo(1)-Mo(2)], and between the two *cis* carbonyls [C(3)-Mo(1)-C(2)], are 140.0 and 100.2°, respectively, compared with 141.2 and 98.2° in the Mo-Mn complex. However, unlike the arrangement in the Mo-Mn complex, the *cis* carbonyls on Mo(1) in **1a** are asymmetrically placed with respect to the metal-metal bond axis, with angles [C(2)-Mo(1)-Mo(2)] = 82.6° and [C(3)-Mo(1)-Mo(2)] = 68.7°. This difference is presumably due to the asymmetric arrangement of the ligands on Mo(2) in **1a** compared with those on manganese in the MoMn complex.

Atom	x	У	Z
Mo(1)	0.25224(14)	0.23145(7)	-0.14282(7)
Mo(2)	0.10082(15)	0.05422(7)	-0.16634(7)
P(1)	0.1433(4)	0.1155(2)	-0.2815(2)
C(1)	0.2639(19)	0.3196(10)	-0.0729(9)
O(1)	0.27227(16)	0.3712(7)	-0.0310(7)
C(2)	0.0216(16)	0.2591(9)	-0.1578(7)
O(2)	-0.1176(12)	0.2853(7)	-0.1703(7)
C(3)	0.2738(18)	0.1752(9)	- 0.0481(9)
O(3)	0.2928(16)	0.1506(8)	0.0125(7)
C(4)	-0.1106(22)	0.0208(9)	-0.2284(8)
O(4)	-0.2322(15)	-0.0021(7)	-0.2623(7)
C(5)	-0.0710(18)	0.1021(8)	-0.1184(8)
O(5)	-0.1796(15)	0.1226(7)	-0.0894(6)
C(11)	0.3045(15)	0.1893(7)	-0.2571(7)
C(12)	0.2803(17)	0.2744(8)	-0.2637(8)
C(13)	0.4091(18)	0.3103(9)	-0.2125(8)
C(14)	0.5115(17)	0.2518(9)	-0.1760(8)
C(15)	0.4542(17)	0.1755(8)	-0.1995(8)
C(21)	0.3614(21)	0.0063(10)	-0.0961(10)
C(22)	0.3353(23)	-0.0286(11)	-0.1679(10)
C(23)	0.1971(22)	-0.0716(11)	-0.1775(10)
C(24)	0.1165(23)	-0.0680(11)	-0.1093(11)
C(25)	0.2240(22)	-0.0174(11)	-0.0600(10)
C(111)	-0.0228(9)	0.1693(5)	-0.3422(4)
C(112)	-0.1781(9)	0.1829(5)	-0.3222(4)
C(113)	-0.3018(9)	0.2237(5)	-0.3702(4)
C(114)	-0.2701(9)	0.2510(5)	-0.4381(4)
C(115)	-0.1148(9)	0.2374(5)	-0.4582(4)
C(116)	0.0089(9)	0.1966(5)	-0.4102(4)
C(121)	0.2177(10)	0.0483(5)	-0.3464(4)
C(122)	0.1048(10)	-0.0045(5)	-0.3864(4)
C(123)	0.1589(10)	-0.0574(5)	-0.4354(4)
C(124)	0.3259(10)	-0.0574(5)	-0.4442(4)
C(125)	0.4388(10)	-0.0046(5)	-0.4042(4)
C(126)	0.3847(10)	0.0483(5)	-0.3552(4)

Fractional atomic coordinates for  $Mo_2(\mu-C_cH_APPh_2)(n^5-C_cH_c)(CO)_c$  (1a)

The spectroscopic properties of the complexes **1a** and **1b** in solution (Table 3) are consistent with their having the same structure as found for **1a** in the solid state. Thus the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the complexes each show four multiplets of relative intensity one in the region  $\delta$  5.5–3.2 which may be assigned to the inequivalent ring protons of the  $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ligands. This confirms the lack of a plane of symmetry such as is present in the related complex MoMn( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>7</sub> [5]; this latter complex shows only two multiplets due to the ring protons. The upfield shift of one of the cyclopentadienyl proton resonances in **1a** and **1b** of *ca*. 1.2 ppm relative to the other three signals is an effect which has been seen previously in the zirconium–iron complex ZrFe( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)(CO) [8] and it may be attributed to the proximity of the proton to the other cyclopentadienyl ring [9].

Table 2

Infra	red and <sup>1</sup> H NMR data for the new complexes		
Coll	punod	$\nu(CO)(cm^{-1})^{d}$	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (8 in ppm) <sup>c</sup>
1a	Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(η <sup>5</sup> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )(CO) <sub>5</sub>	1993s, 1943m, 1887m, 1878m	7.2–7.7 (m, 10H, Ar); 5.49 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 5.28 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 4.06.46 512 C H ), 4.4.47 m, 112 C H B); 3.20 (m, 114 C H B);
1b	MoW(μ-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(η <sup>5</sup> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )(CO) <sub>5</sub>	1993s, 1939m, 1887m, 1867m	$7.0^{-0.77}$ (m, 10H, Ar); $5.40^{-0.11}$ (m, 111, $0.544^{-0.72}$ ); $7.0^{-7.77}$ (m, 10H, Ar); $5.40^{-0.11}$ (m, 11H, $0.544^{-0.11}$ ); $5.24^{-0.11}$ (m, 11H, $0.544^{-0.11}$ ); $5.04^{-0.11}$ (m, 11H, $0.544^{-0.11}$ ); $5.044^{-0.11}$ (m, 11H, $0.544^{-0.11}$ ); $5.044^{$
lc	Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(η <sup>5</sup> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>5</sub>	1994s, 1947m, 1890m, 1885m,sh	2.10 (s, 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Y, 4.22 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PY, 3.30 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PY) 7.2–7.8 (m, 20H, Ar); 5.46 (br, s, 1H, μ-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> ); 5.36 (br, s, 2H,
			$\eta^5$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> ); 5.26 (br, s, 1H, $\mu$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> ); 4.56 (br, s, 1 H, $\eta^5$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> ); 4.45 (br, s, 1H, $\mu$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> ); 4.25 (br, s, 1H,
2a	Mo <sub>2</sub> (μ-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(η <sup>5</sup> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )(CO) <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> Me	1948s, 1880w, 1860m, 1827m	η΄-C <sub>5</sub> H₄P <sup>4</sup> P <sub>1</sub> Σ <sub>1</sub> ; 3.15 (br, s, 1H, μ-C <sub>5</sub> H₄PPh <sub>2</sub> ) 7.1-7.8 (m, 20H, Ar); 4.93 (s, 5H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ); 4.56 (br, s, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H₄P);
			4.33 (br, S, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 4.21 (br, s, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 3.33 (br, s, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H, P): 2.10 (d. J(PH) = 8.2. 3H. PPh, <i>Me</i> )
<b>3</b> þ	$Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4P(OMe)_3$	1947s, 1889w, 1861m, 1843m	7.2–7.8 (m, 10H, Ar); 5.23 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 5.04 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P);
			4.92 (s, 5H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ); 4.36 (m, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 3.61 (d, <i>J</i> (PH) = 11.6, 9H. P(OMe),)
20	$M_0W(\mu - C_sH_4PPh_2)(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)(CO)_4$	1943s, 1880w, 1851m, 1826m	7.3-7.7 (m, 20H, Ar); 5.05 (s, 5H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ); 4.57 (br, s, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P);
	Ph <sub>2</sub> Me		4.35 (br. s, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 4.28 (br. s, 1H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 3.40 (br. s, 1H C HDb, 2.06 (A //DDH) = 8.2 3H DDb, MA)
	$Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$	1953s, 1909s, 1861m	7.2–7.9 (m, 20H, Ar); 5.32 (m, 2H, $C_5H_4P$ ); 4.69 (m, 2H, $C_5H_4P$ );
	·		3.69 (m, 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 3.29 (m, 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P)
4a	$[Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\mu-H)(\eta^3-C_5H_5)(CO)_5]$ (BF)	2058s, 1991s, 1970m,sh, 1920w <sup>b</sup>	7.0-7.8 (m, 10H, Ar); 6.15 (br, s, 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 5.75 (br, s, 1H, C H D): 5.45 (s EH C H ): 2.72 (br, s, 1H C H D):
	[ht 4]		C5π4Γλ, 3-43 (S, 301, C5π5λ, 3-73 (01, S, 111, C5π4Γλ, - 17.37 (d, J(PH) = 15.9, 1H, M0HM0)
4	$[MoW(\mu^{-}C_{5}H_{4}PPh_{2})(\mu^{-}H)(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})(CO)_{5}]$	2061s, 1984s,br, 1908w <sup>b</sup>	7.3–7.7 (m, 10H, Ar); 6.25 (br, s, 1H, $C_{s}H_{4}P$ ); 6.22 (br, s, 1H, $C_{s}H_{4}P$ );
	[BF4]		5.70 (br, s, 1H, $C_5H_4P$ ); 5.58 (s, 5H, $C_5H_5$ ); 3.82 (br, s, 1H, $C_5H_4P$ ); - 18.62 (d, $J(PH) = 11.3$ . W satellites $J^{(183}WH) = 36.1$ . 1H. MoHW)
2	$[Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(\mu-H)(CO)_4][BF_4]$	2000s, 1979s, 1991m <sup>b</sup>	7.1-7.9 (m, 20H, Ar); 5.43 (br, s, 6H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 4.12 (br, s, 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P);
			-16.93 (t, $J(PH) = 22.9$ , 1H, MoHMo)
•	Mo( <b></b> $\eta^{3}$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>3</sub> I	2044s, 1970s, 1950s	7.3–7.8 (m, 10H, Ar); 5.83 (t, $J = 2.2$ , 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 5.40 (td, $J = 2.2$ , 0.75, 2H, C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P)
~	Mo( $\eta^{5}$ -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub> )(CO) <sub>2</sub> NO	2021s, 1951s [\u03b2(NO)1687s]	7.3-7.5 (m, 10H, Ar); 5.63 (d, $J = 1.4$ , 2H, C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>4</sub> P); 5.61 (d, $J = 1.7$ ,
			$2H, C_5H_4P$

Table 3

<sup>*a*</sup> Recorded in hexane solution unless otherwise indicated. <sup>*b*</sup> Recorded in  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution. <sup>*c*</sup> Recorded at 298 K in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution. All coupling constants are in Hz. Abbreviations: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet; br, broad.

331

-----

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of **1a** and **1b** each show a single peak which may be attributed to the phosphorus of the  $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ligand. In the case of **1b**, this peak is accompanied by tungsten satellites with  $J(^{183}W-^{31}P)$  of 295 Hz, showing that the ligand is coordinated through phosphorus to tungsten and through the C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ring to molybdenum.

The reactions of 1a with PPh<sub>2</sub>Me and P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> and of 1b with PPh<sub>2</sub>Me were studied in order to determine whether substitution would take place and, if so, at which of the metal atom sites. From these reactions, which proceeded in refluxing toluene at 383 K, the monosubstituted derivatives  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4L$  [L = PPh<sub>2</sub>Me (2a); L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> (2b)] and MoW( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5-C_5H_5$ )(CO)<sub>4</sub>L [L = PPh<sub>2</sub>Me (2c)] were obtained. There was no evidence in any of the reactions for the formation of disubstituted products.

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of the complexes [2] strongly suggest that the substituting ligand is bound to the metal atom which is not coordinated by the phosphorus of the  $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ligand. Thus the <sup>31</sup>P signal due to this latter ligand occurs at virtually the same position in the substituted complexes as in the relevant unsubstituted complex **1a** or **1b**. In addition, whereas in **2c** the phosphorus signal due to the  $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ligand shows <sup>183</sup>W satellites, the phosphorus signal due to the PPh<sub>2</sub>Me ligand does not. Instead this latter signal is a simple doublet with J(P-P) = 10 Hz.

The carbonyl ligands on the molybdenum atom in **1a** at which substitution takes place are all non-equivalent and there are therefore three possible sites for the phosphorus ligand in the complexes **2**. These cannot be distinguished on the basis of the spectroscopic data but only one isomer seems to be present in each case. This isomer is tentatively assigned the structure shown in Fig. 2 since, for steric reasons, the reactions are most likely to proceed in such a way that the carbonyl group furthest from the  $C_5H_5$  ligand on the other metal atom is displaced.

The reaction of **1a** or **1b** with excess HBF<sub>4</sub> at 195 K gives brown air-sensitive products which are formulated on the basis of their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra as the protonated derivatives,  $[MoM(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\mu-H)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5][BF_4](M = Mo$ (**4a**); M = W (**4b**)], with the structures shown in Fig. 2. Thus doublet signals are seen for **4a** at  $\delta - 17.37[J(P-H) = 15.9 Hz]$  and for **4b** at  $\delta - 18.62[J(P-H) = 11.3$ Hz] which may be assigned to a bridging hydride ligand. In the case of **4b** the central doublet is accompanied by two satellite doublets  $[J(^{183}W-H) = 36.1 Hz]$ . Similar spectra have been reported previously for the protonated derivatives of  $MoMn(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_7$  and  $MoRe(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_7$  [10]. The values of J(P-H) for these previously reported complexes (6.2 Hz and unresolved, respectively) are, however, considerably less than for the complexes **4**. This difference is presumably due to the altered geometry round manganese or rhenium compared to that of the corresponding molybdenum atom in **4**.

Many dimetallic complexes are known that contain two bridging  $C_5H_4PPh_2$  ligands. In the majority of these, the two cyclopentadienyl ligands are coordinated to one metal atom and the two phosphorus atoms to the other [1,2,11,12]. A head to tail arrangement is much less common and the only structurally characterized examples all involve Group VIII metals, namely  $Pt_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2Ph_2$  [13],  $Rh_2(\mu-C_5H_4PR_2)_2(CO)_2$  (R = Ph [14]; R = Me [15]),  $[Rh_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)$  COMe][PF<sub>6</sub>] [3] and  $[Rh_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2py_2][BF_4]_2$  [4]. A metal-metal bond is present only in the last two of these examples. We have now synthesized in three



Fig. 2. Proposed structures of the new dinuclear molybdenum and tungsten complexes.

different ways the metal-metal bonded complex  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$  (3) which contains a head to tail arrangement of the two  $C_5H_4PPh_2$  ligands. The best of these methods involves the reaction of  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_3I$  (6) with  $Li[Mo(CO)_3(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)]$ , a method which is analogous to that used for the synthesis of 1a and 1b. At 338 K this reaction leads to the synthesis of 3 in 32% yield together with a second complex,  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_5$  (1c) in 6% yield. Complex 3 may also be obtained in 10% yield by the oxidation of  $Li[Mo(CO)_3(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)]$  with ferric sulphate in the presence of ethanoic acid or in 34% yield by the thermolysis of 1c (see Experimental section).

In the proposed structure for 3 (Fig. 2), the two heterodifunctional ligands, although occupying equivalent positions, are arranged in a cisoid configuration with respect to each other. Such an arrangement is also found in the metal-metal bonded complexes  $[Rh_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)COMe][PF_6]$  [3] and  $[Rh_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2py_2][BF_4]_2$  [4] but not in the complexes  $Pt_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2Ph_2$  [13],  $Rh_2(\mu-C_5H_4PR_2)_2(CO)_2$  (R = Ph [14]; R = Me [15]), in which a metal-metal bond is absent. In these latter complexes, the two heterodifunctional ligands are arranged *trans* to each other so that the two metal atoms, the two phosphorus atoms, and the centroids of the two cyclopentadienyl rings lie in a plane. The two arrangements can be distinguished by a consideration of the NMR data for the complexes concerned. Thus in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3, four signals, each of

relative intensity two, are observed at  $\delta$  5.32, 4.69, 3.69 and 3.29 and these are assigned to the four non-equivalent protons on each of the two cyclopentadienyl rings. The two upfield resonances at 3.69 and 3.29 probably correspond to H<sup>1</sup> and H<sup>2</sup> due to their proximity to aromatic rings [9]. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum also confirms the equivalence of the two heterodifunctional ligands with a single resonance at  $\delta$  -72.8. In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum only two signals are observed in the carbonyl region, one a doublet at  $\delta$  243.9 [J(P-C) = 27.4 Hz] and the other a singlet at  $\delta$  231.9. On this basis [16], the former is assigned to the two carbonyl ligands (CO<sup>1</sup>) which are *trans* to the phosphine ligands and the latter to the two carbonyl ligands (CO<sup>2</sup>) which are *cis* to the phosphine ligands. It should be noted that the relative positions of the two cyclopentadienyl rings in **3** in the proposed structure are similar to those found for the cyclopentadienyl rings in **1a** from the crystal structure determination.

Complex 1c is assigned a structure analogous to that of 1a and 1b on the basis of spectroscopic data, with one heterodifunctional ligand in a bridging position and one which is coordinated only to a single metal atom through the cyclopentadienyl group (Fig. 2). In accordance with this proposal, the <sup>31</sup>P {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 1c shows two singlet signals at  $\delta$  -78.0 and -157.8. The first of these is assigned to the bridging C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ligand, since it has a chemical shift very close to that observed for this ligand in 1a. The second signal, which has a chemical shift similar to that observed in Li[Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>] and also in 6 and 7 described below, is assigned to a C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group in which the phosporus atom remains uncoordinated.

It is tempting to suggest that 1c is an intermediate in the reaction leading to 3, especially in view of the similarity in the positions of the cyclopentadienyl rings in the two complexes, and that 3 is formed on displacement of a carbonyl group in 1c by the uncoordinated phosphorus atom. That this is a reasonable suggestion is shown by the thermolysis of 1c at 338 K, which yields 3 as the only product.

Protonation of complex 3 with excess HBF<sub>4</sub> at 195 K gives a single dark red complex which is formulated as  $[Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(\mu-H)(CO)_4][BF_4]$  (5). The bridging hydride ligand in 5 gives rise to a triplet signal in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the complex at  $\delta - 16.93$  [J(P-H) = 22.9 Hz] which is consistent with the structure shown in Fig. 2 in which the hydride is symmetrically placed between the two metal atoms. A non-symmetrical but fluxional structure cannot, however, be excluded.

The mononuclear complex  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_3I$  (6), used in the preparation of 3, is itself a new species and was prepared from the reaction of the anionic complex Li[Mo(CO)\_3(\eta^5-C\_5H\_4PPh\_2)] with iodine (see Experimental section), although a previous attempt to halogenate this anion or to prepare other mononuclear neutral derivatives from it was not successful [5]. To demonstrate that an extended range of neutral mononuclear derivatives of Li[Mo(CO)\_3(\eta^5-C\_5H\_4PPh\_2)] can be obtained as stable entities, we have also synthesized and characterized the nitrosyl complex  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_2NO$  (7) both by reaction of the anion with diazald,  $p-MeC_6H_4SO_2N(Me)NO$ , and by reaction of the anion with NOBF<sub>4</sub>. The spectroscopic properties of 7 are similar to those of the cyclopentadienyl complex  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_2NO$  [17] and it is assigned an analogous structure (Fig. 2). Complex 7 was subjected to thermolysis and photolysis in attempts to eliminate one or more CO groups, and hence to form higher nuclearity species, but no new complexes could be isolated from these reactions.

## Experimental

All reactions were carried out under nitrogen by standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were distilled under nitrogen from appropriate drying agents and degassed prior to use. Preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on commercial Merck plates coated with a 0.25-mm layer of silica, or on 1-mm silica plates prepared at the University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge; products are presented in order of decreasing  $R_f$  values.

The instruments used to obtain spectroscopic data have been specified previously [18]. Phosphorus-31 NMR chemicals shifts are given relative to  $P(OMe)_3$ with upfield shifts negative. Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. The compounds  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I$  and  $W(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I$  were prepared by slight modifications (see below) of the published method [19] from Na[Mo( $\eta^5-C_5H_5$ )(CO)\_3]. 2DME and Na[ $W(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_3$ ] · 2DME [20], respectively. The compound Li[Mo(CO)\_3( $\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2$ )] [5] was prepared from Li[PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>] [21] and Mo(CO)\_3(MeCN)\_3 [22] rather than from the lithium reagent and Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>. This modification enabled the compound to be prepared in 15 min at room temperature, rather than by overnight reflux, and gave a purer solution of the anion.

# Preparation of complexes

(i)  $Mo(\eta^5 - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3 I$  [19]. The complex Na[Mo( $\eta^5 - C_5 H_5$ )(CO)\_3] · 2DME (3.1 g, 7.08 mmol) was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (40 cm<sup>3</sup>) and iodine (1.75 g, 6.62 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added. The mixture was stirred for 20 min at room temperature and the solvent then removed under vacuum to yield a red solid. A suspension of this in toluene was stirred for 20 min and the insoluble sodium iodide then filtered off. Removal of solvent from the filtrate under vacuum left Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>I (2.3 g, 89%) as a red powder.

(ii)  $W(\eta - C_5 H_5)(CO)_3 I$  [19]. This was prepared in a similar fashion to the molybdenum analogue using Na[W( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>] · 2DME (0.40 g, 0.758 mmol) and iodine 0.19 g, 0.748 mmol) to give W( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>I (0.33 g, 95%) as a red powder.

(*iii*)  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a). The complex  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_3I$  (1.10 g, 2.96 mmol) was added to a solution of Li[Mo(CO)\_3( $\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2$ )] prepared *in situ* from Li( $C_5H_4PPh_2$ ) (0.75 g, 2.93 mmol) and  $Mo(CO)_3(NCMe)_3$  (0.80 g, 2.93 mmol) in THF (100 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was heated under reflux at 338 K for 16 h, then allowed to cool and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was adsorbed on alumina and purified by column chromatography on alumina (UG1 grade). Elution of the column with  $CH_2Cl_2/$  hexane (1:2) gave a brown band which yielded  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a) (0.85 g, 45%) as a brown powder on evaporation of the solvent. 1a: Anal. Found: C, 50.2; H, 2.9; P, 4.7.  $C_{27}H_{19}Mo_2O_5P$  calc.: C, 50.2; H, 2.9; P, 4.8%. MS: m/e 646 (M<sup>+</sup>), M<sup>+</sup> – n(CO) (n = 1-5). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K)  $\delta$  140.7 (d, J(P-C) = 38.8, *ipso*-Ar); 135.2 (d, J(P-C) = 13.0, *o*-Ar); 131.3 (s, *p*-Ar); 130.2 (s, *p*-Ar); 129.6 (d, J(P-C) = 11.6, *o*-Ar); 129.1 (d, J(P-C) = 6.2,  $C_5H_4P$ ,  $C_2$  or  $C_3$ ); 92.5 (s,  $C_5H_5$ ); 90.9 (d, J(P-C) = 8.2,  $C_5H_4P$ ,  $C_2$  or

C<sub>3</sub>); 86.9 (d, J(P-C) = 6.5, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>); 54 (d, J(P-C) = 40, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>1</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K)  $\delta$  -78.7 (s, PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

(iv)  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1b). The complex  $W(\eta^5-C_5H_5)$ (CO)<sub>3</sub>I (0.156 g, 0.339 mmol) was added to a solution of Li[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>( $\eta^5-C_5H_4$ PPh<sub>2</sub>)] prepared *in situ* from Li(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>) (0.087 g, 0.340 mmol) and Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(NCMe)<sub>3</sub> (0.093 g, 0.341 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the reaction carried out as in (iii). The residue was purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ hexane (1:3) as the eluent. A red band and a brown band were eluted and yielded, respectively,  $W(C_5H_5)(CO)_3I$  (0.042 g, 27%) and  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1b) (0.078 g, 31%). 1b: Anal. Found: C, 44.0; H, 2.6.  $C_{27}H_{19}MoO_5PW$  calc.: C, 44.2; H, 2.6%. MS: m/e 734 ( $M^+$ ),  $M^+ - n(CO)$  (n = 1-5). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$ - 109.6 (s, W satellites J(W-P) = 295, PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

(v)  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4PPh_2Me$  (2a). To a solution of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a) (0.175 g, 0.271 mmol) in toluene (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added PPh\_2Me (0.050 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was heated under reflux at 383 K for 40 h after which infrared monitoring indicated that no further reaction was occurring. The solution was allowed to cool, the solvent removed under vacuum, and the residue purified by TLC with  $CH_2Cl_2/hexane (1:1)$  as the eluent. The single dark red band obtained yielded  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4PPh_2Me$  (2a) (0.140 g, 63%) as a brown powder. 2a: Anal. Found: C, 53.0; H, 3.7.  $C_{39}H_{32}Mo_2O_4P$  calc.: C, 53.2; H, 3.9%. MS: m/e 818 ( $M^+$ ),  $M^+ - n(CO)$  (n = 1-3). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta - 78.0$  (s, PPh\_2C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>); -85.4 (s, PPh\_2Me).

(vi)  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4P(OMe)_3$  (2b). In an analogous procedure to that described in (v),  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a) (0.040 g, 0.062 mmol) and P(OMe)\_3 (0.010 g, 0.085 mmol) in toluene (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) was heated under reflux at 383 K for 16 h. The residue was purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ hexane (1:4) as the eluent to give a brown and a red band. These yielded, respectively,  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a) (0.006 g, 15%) and  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4P(OMe)_3$  (2b) (0.025 g, 54%) as brown powders. Complex 2b: MS: m/e 744 ( $M^+$ ),  $M^+ - n(CO)$  (n = 1-4), <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta - 77.3$  (d, J(P-P) = 13,  $PPh_2C_5H_4$ ); 55.2 (d,  $P(OMe)_3$ ).

(vii)  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^3-C_5H_5)(CO)_4PPh_2Me$  (2c). In an analogous procedure to that described in (v), a mixture of  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$ (1b) (0.040 g, 0.054 mmol) and PPh\_2Me (0.015 cm<sup>3</sup>, 0.081 mmol) in toluene (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) was heated under reflux at 383 K for 16 h. The residue was purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ hexane (2:3) as the eluent to give a brown and a dark red band. These yielded, respectively,  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1b) (0.008 g, 20%) and  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_4PPh_2Me$  (2c) (0.014 g, 28%) as brown powders. 2c: Anal. Found: C, 49.7; H, 3.5.  $C_{27}H_{19}MoO_5P_2W$  calc.: C, 48.5; H, 3.4% MS: m/e 906 ( $M^+$ ),  $M^+ - n(CO)$  (n = 1-4). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta - 109.0$  (d, J(P-P) = 10, W satellites J(W-P) = 310,  $PPh_2C_5H_4$ ), -85.8 (d, PPh\_2Me).

(viii)  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_3I(6)$ . A solution of iodine (0.075 g, 0.295 mmol) in THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to a solution of Li[Mo(CO)\_3( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>PPh\_2)] prepared *in situ* from Li(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>) (0.075 g, 0.293 mmol) and Mo(CO)\_3(NCMe)\_3 (0.080 g, 0.293 mmol) in THF (30 cm<sup>3</sup>) as described in (i). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min after which the solution had turned red and none of the anion remained. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue purified by TLC using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane (1:3) as eluent. The single red band obtained yielded Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>I (6) (0.072 g, 44%) as a slightly oily red material. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$  232.0 (s, Mo(CO)); 219.5 (s, Mo(CO)); 217.9 (s, Mo(CO)); 128–136 (m, Ar); 100.8 (br, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>1</sub>); 98.8 (s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>3</sub>); 97.7 (d,  $J(P-C) = 12.1, C_5H_4P, C_2$ ). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$  -159.4 (s, PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

(ix)  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$  (3). (a) A solution of  $Mo(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_3I$ (6) (0.200 g, 0.360 mmol) in THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to one of Li[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>( $\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2$ )] prepared *in situ* from Li(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>) (0.086 g, 0.336 mmol) and Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(NCMe)<sub>3</sub> (0.086 g, 0.315 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) as described in (i). The mixture was heated under reflux at 338 K for 16 h and then allowed to cool and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane (2:3) as eluent, giving a brown and a red band. These yielded, respectively,  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_5$  (1c) (0.015 g, 6%) as a brown powder and  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$  (3) (0.080 g, 32%) as a dark red powder.

Complex 1c: MS: m/e 802 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO), M<sup>+</sup>-n(CO) (n = 1-5). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$  -78.0 (s,  $\mu$ -PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>); -157.8 (s,  $\eta^5$ -PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). Complex 3: Anal. Found: C, 56.8; H, 3.6. C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub> calc.: C, 56.9; H, 3.5%. MS: m/e802 ( $M^+$ ),  $M^+ - n$ (CO) (n = 1-4). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$  243.9 (d, J(P-C) = 27.4 Mo(CO)<sub>2</sub>); 231.9 (s, Mo(CO)<sub>2</sub>), 138.5 (d, J(P-C) = 40.2 *ipso*-Ar); 133.9 (d, J(P-C) = 13.2, o-Ar); 132.6 (d, J(P-C) = 48.6, *ipso*-Ar); 131.1 (d, J(P-C) = 11.5, o-Ar); 130.6 (s, p-Ar); 128.6 (d, J(P-C) = 9.4, m-Ar); 128.3 (d, J(P-C) = 10.6 m-Ar); 93.5 (br, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>); 91.0 (d, J(P-C) = 14.3, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>); 87.6 (d, J(P-C) 6.4, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>); 87.1 (br, s, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>2</sub> or C<sub>3</sub>); 53.1 (d, J(P-C) = 40.6, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P, C<sub>1</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$  -72.8 (s, PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

(b) A solution of Li[Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)] was prepared from Li(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>) (0.068 g, 0.266 mmol) and Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(NCMe)<sub>3</sub> (0.070 g, 0.256 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) as described in (i). A solution of Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O (0.080 g, 0.152 mmol) in distilled water and a few drops of concentrated ethanoic acid were added [23] and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The red precipitate formed was filtered off and dried under vacuum. It was purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane (1:1) as the eluent. A dark red band and a brown band eluted, which yielded, respectively, Mo<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>5</sub> (1c) (0.006 g, 3%) and Mo<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> (3) (0.020 g, 10%).

(c) A solution of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(CO)_5$  (1c) (0.015 g, 0.018 mmol) in THF (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) was heated under reflux at 338 K for 16 h and then allowed to cool. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue purified by TLC with  $CH_2Cl_2/$  hexane (2:3) as the eluent. A single red band was obtained which yielded  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$  (3) (0.005 g, 34%) as a red solid.

(x)  $Mo(\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 PPh_2)(CO)_2 NO(7)$ . (a) Diazald (0.130 g, 0.607 mmol) in THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to a solution of Li[Mo( $\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 PPh_2$ )(CO)<sub>3</sub>] prepared *in situ* from Li(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>) (0.160 g, 0.643 mmol) and Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(NCMe)<sub>3</sub> (0.175 g, 0.614 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) in a procedure analogous to that described under (viii). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min after which the mixture had become dark brown and infrared monitoring indicated that no anion remained. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ hexane (1:10) as the eluent. The single yellow band obtained yielded Mo( $\eta^5 - C_5 H_4 PPh_2$ )(CO)<sub>2</sub>NO (7) (0.120 g, 48%) as a yellow powder.

Complex 7: MS: m/e 403 ( $M^+$  - CO),  $M^+$  - n(CO)-NO (n = 1, 2). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 298 K):  $\delta$  - 161.7 (s, PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>).

(b) NOBF<sub>4</sub> (0.008 g, 0.068 mmol) was added to a solution of Li[Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>3</sub>] prepared *in situ* from Li(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>) (0.017 g, 0.066 mmol) and Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(NCMe)<sub>3</sub> (0.020 g, 0.073 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) which had been previously cooled to 195 K. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 min, after which the solution had turned dark brown and none of the anion remained. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue purified by TLC with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane (1:5) as eluent. The single yellow band obtained yielded Mo( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)(CO)<sub>2</sub>NO (7) (0.010 g, 34%) as a yellow powder.

## Protonation experiments

(i) Protonation of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a). A solution of 1a (0.010 g, 0.015 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) was cooled to 195 K, an excess of HBF<sub>4</sub> was added, and the mixture allowed to warm to room temperature. After 15 min infrared monitoring indicated that no starting material remained and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O to yield  $[Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\mu-H)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5][BF_4]$  (4a) as a brown powder, which was stored under nitrogen.

(ii) Protonation of  $MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1b). Complex 1b (0.008 g, 0.011 mmol) was used in an analogous procedure to that followed for 1a. The protonated complex  $[MoW(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\mu-H)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5][BF_4]$  (4b) was obtained as a brown solid, which was stored under nitrogen.

(iii) Protonation of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(CO)_4$  (3). Complex 3 (0.013 g, 0.016 mmol) was used in an analogous procedure to that described for 1a. The protonated complex  $[Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)_2(\mu-H)(CO)_4][BF_4]$  (5) was obtained as a dark red solid, which was stored under nitrogen.

X-Ray data collection, structure solution and refinement of  $Mo_2(\mu-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_5$  (1a)

*Crystal data for 1a.*  $C_{27}H_{19}O_5P_1Mo_2$ , M = 646.30, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ , a = 8.124(2), b = 17.008(4), c = 18.312(5)Å,  $\beta = 100.35(2)^\circ$ , Z = 4, U = 2 489.05 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 9.9$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $D_c = 1.73$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 1 280. A dark red crystal of size  $0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.46$  mm<sup>3</sup>, was used in the data collection.

Data collection. Data were collected in the  $\theta$ -range 3-25°, with a scan width of 0.90°, using the technique described previously [24]. Equivalent reflections were merged to give 2170 data with  $I/\sigma(I) > 3.0$ . Absorption corrections were applied to the data after initial refinement with isotropic thermal parameters for all atoms [25].

Structure solution and refinement [26]. The coordinates of the molybdenum atoms were deduced from a Patterson synthesis, and the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from subsequent difference-Fourier syntheses. The phenyl rings were constrained to idealized hexagonal geometry (C-C 1.395 and C-H 1.08 Å) with fixed thermal parameters of 0.08 Å<sup>2</sup> assigned to the hydrogen atoms. The molybdenum, phosphorus, and the carbon and oxygen atoms of the five carbonyl ligands were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters in the final cycles of full-ma-

trix refinement which converged at R = 0.0605 and R' = 0.0597 with weights of  $w = 1/\sigma^2 F_0$  assigned to the individual reflections.

Additional material is available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

#### References

- 1 W.R. Cullen and J.D. Woollins, Coord. Chem. Rev., 39 (1991) 1.
- 2 R.M. Bullock and C.P. Casey, Acc. Chem. Res., 20 (1987) 167.
- 3 X.D. He, A. Maisonnat, F. Dahan and R. Poilblanc, New J. Chem., 14 (1990) 313.
- 4 X.D. He, A. Maisonnat, F. Dahan and R. Poilblanc, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1990) 670.
- 5 R.M. Bullock and C.P. Casey, Organometallics, 1 (1982) 1591.
- 6 C.P. Casey, F. Nief and R.M. Bullock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105 (1983) 7574.
- 7 R.D. Adams, D.M. Collins and F.A. Cotton, Inorg. Chem., 13 (1974) 1086.
- 8 C.P. Casey and F. Nief, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 1218.
- 9 H. Gunther, NMR Spectroscopy; translated by R.W. Gleaon, Wiley, Chichester, 1980, pp. 77 et seq.
- 10 C.P. Casey and R.M. Bullock, J. Organomet. Chem., 251 (1983) 245.
- 11 W. Tikkanen, Y. Fujita and J.L. Petersen, Organometallics, 5 (1986) 888.
- 12 D.L. Dubois, C.W. Eigenbrot, Jr., A. Miedener, J.C. Smart and R.C. Haltiwanger, Organometallics, 5 (1986) 1405.
- 13 G.K. Anderson, M. Lin and M.Y. Chiang, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 288.
- 14 X.D. He, A. Maisonnat, F. Dahan and R. Poilblanc, Organometallics, 8 (1989) 2618.
- 15 M.D. Rausch, W.C. Spink, J.L. Atwood, A.J. Baskar and S.G. Bott, Organometallics, 8 (1989) 2627.
- 16 L.J. Todd, J.R. Wilkinson, J.P. Hickey, D.L. Beach and K.W. Barnett, J. Organomet. Chem., 154 (1978) 151.
- 17 D. Seddon, W.G. Kita, J. Bray and J.A. McCleverty, Inorg. Synth., 16 (1976) 24.
- 18 A.J.M. Caffyn, M.J. Mays and P.R. Raithby, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1991) 2349.
- 19 T.S. Piper and G. Wilkinson, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 3 (1956) 104.
- 20 R. Bender, P. Braunstein, J.-M. Jud and Y. Dusausoy, Inorg. Chem., 22 (1983) 3394.
- 21 F. Mathey and J.-P. Lampin, J. Organomet. Chem., 128 (1977) 297.
- 22 D.P. Tate, W.R. Knipple and J.M. Augl, Inorg. Chem., 1 (1962) 433.
- 23 R. Birdwhistell, P. Hackett and A.R. Manning, J. Organomet. Chem., 157 (1978) 239.
- 24 M.K. Cooper, H.J. Goodwin, P.J. Guerney and M. McPartlin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1982) 757.
- 25 N. Walker and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 39 (1983) 158.
- 26 G.M. Sheldrick, shelx76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, 1976.